COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0766-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 242

Subject: Education, Elementary and Secondary: Capital Improvements

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 19, 2001

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004					
School Building Construction and Renovation	\$2,100,000	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)					
School Building Revolving	(\$2,100,000)	\$0	\$0					
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$0	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004			
School Districts	\$0	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)			

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **State Treasurer's Office** assume the proposal would result in no fiscal impact to the agency.

Officials from the **Secretary of State's Office (SOS)** assume the rules, regulations and forms issued by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education require as many as 14 pages in the Code of State Regulations. For any given rule, roughly half again as many pages are published in the Missouri Register as in the Code because cost statements, fiscal notes and the like are not repeated in the Code. These costs are estimated. The estimated cost of a page in the Missouri Register is \$23. The estimated cost of a page in the Code of State Regulations is \$27. The actual costs could be more or less the SOS's estimated cost of \$861 for FY 2002. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules, filed, amended, rescinded or withdrawn. **Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distribution of regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials of **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DES)** note that the proposal does not indicate a funding source, amount per year, or number of years of funding needs. For fiscal note purposes, officials estimated an average need of \$150,000,000 per year over a ten year period.

The Department would request a Director and an Administrative Assistant and related expense and equipment as minimal staff needed to begin to carry out provisions of this proposal if sufficient moneys are found to allow a grant program. The Department would request additional resources as more money was made available for the program.

Oversight estimates that the School Building Revolving fund would transfer about \$2,100,000 to the School Building Construction and Renovation Fund on August 28, 2001. Oversight also notes that the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education has not yet made any loans from the School Building Revolving Fund because the Fund balance is not large enough to finance projects.

Oversight assumes that unless some source of additional revenue to the School Building Construction and Renovation Fund is identified, the Department would not need additional resources.

L.R. No. 0766-01 Bill No. SB 242 Page 3 of 4 February 19, 2001

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
SCHOOL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AND RENOVATION FUND			
<u>Income</u> - Transfer from School Building Revolving Fund	\$2,100,000	\$0	\$0
<u>Costs</u> - Matching Grants	\$0	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON SCHOOL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION FUND	<u>\$2,100,000</u>	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)
SCHOOL BUILDING REVOLVING FUND			
Cost - Transfer to School Building Construction and Renovation Fund	(\$2,100,000)	\$0	\$0
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON SCHOOL BUILDING REVOLVING FUND	<u>(\$2,100,000)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
DIGGAL DADAGE L. 1.C.	EV. 2002	EV. 2002	EN 2004
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
SCHOOL DISTRICTS	4.0		00 77.1
Income - Grants	\$0	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
<u>Costs</u> - Grant Matches	\$0	(\$0 to	(\$0 to
<u>Costs</u> - Construction and Renovations	\$0	Unknown) (\$0 to Unknown)	Unknown) (\$0 to Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON SCHOOL DISTRICTS	\$0	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would replace the School Building Revolving Fund with a School Building Construction and Renovation Fund. Moneys in the new Fund would be used, in equal portions, to DESCRIPTION (continued)

GVB:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 0766-01 Bill No. SB 242 Page 4 of 4 February 19, 2001

fund school construction and school renovation through matching grants to school districts. All moneys in the School Building Revolving Fund on August 28, 2001, would be transferred to the School Building Construction and Renovation Fund.

The proposal would provide priorities for projects to receive grants and specify that facilities built or renovated with grant funds remain the responsibility of school districts.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. The proposal would not affect Total State Revenue.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Secretary of State State Treasurer

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

February 19, 2001